

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DA | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------|------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/648,275 08/27/2003 | | 08/27/2003 | Kenichi Nakatate | Q76815 | 4434 | | |
| 23373 | 7590 | 04/05/2006 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | | N, PLLC | WOOD, KEVIN S | | | | |
| 2100 PEN SUITE 80 | | ANIA AVENUE, N.W. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | - | DC 20037 | 2874 | | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| R | Þ |
|---|---|
| , | _ |

| | | F | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/648,275 | | NAKATATE ET AL. | | | | |
| | | | xaminer | | Art Unit \ | 111 | | | |
| | | | Kevin S. Wood | | 2874 | * | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | ication appea | rs on the cover shee | et with the co | orrespondence ad | Idress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on 27 Janu | uary 2006. | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | • | | ction is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition | · — | | matters, pros | secution as to the | e merits is | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practi | | • | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the | application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 16 | is/are withdra | awn from considerat | tion. | | | | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠- | Claim(s) 1-9 and 14 is/are rejected. | | • | | , | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | Claim(s) 10-13 is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restrict | ction and/or e | lection requirement | • | | | | | |
| Applicat | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | • • | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | : a) <u></u> accep | ted or b)□ objected | d to by the E | xaminer. | | | | |
| · | Applicant may not request that any obje | ction to the dra | awing(s) be held in abo | eyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | , | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | | | |
| •— | 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| ŕ | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority | documents h | nave been received. | | • | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority | | | | on No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies | of the priority | documents have b | een receive | d in this National | Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | • | | | |
| Attachmen | it(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | O-152) | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 27 January 2006 is acknowledged.
- Claims 15-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim (claim 1), applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species (claims 15-16) which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, filed on 18 October 2005, with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,275

Art Unit: 2874

5. Claims 1 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,751,879 to Graham et al.

Referring to claim 1, the Graham et al. reference discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention. The Graham et al. reference discloses an optical fiber comprising: an optical fiber body (20) having a plurality of cores (14) and having a twisted portion with a beginning portion, a middle potion and an end portion, wherein the rate of twist in the middle portion is constant, the rate of twist gradually increases in the beginning portion and the rate of twist gradually decreases in the end portion. In Fig. 4, the reference discloses the fiber twisted at a rate in one direction (A), then the fiber rate of twist is decreased there is no twisting (B), and then the rate of twist is increased in the opposite direction (C) to the rate of twist in the original direction of twist. The changing of the direction of twist clearly meets the limitations of decreasing and an increasing the rates of twist. See Fig. 1-10 of the reference along with their respective portions of the specification.

Referring to claims 5-9, the Graham et al. reference discloses a protective element (17) covering the twisted portion and the protective layer (16). See Fig. 1-10 of the reference along with their respective portions of the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,275 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 2-5, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,751,879 to Graham et al.

Referring to claims 2-5, 9 and 14, the Graham et al. reference discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, except the Graham et al. reference does not appear to specifically disclose the rate of twist for the middle portion is set in the range of 1°/mm to 1000°/mm or 5°/mm to 100°/mm, and the rate of twist at the end portion is in the range of 1°/mm to 1000°/mm. These are large ranges of twist rates and the applicant has not disclosed the criticality of these claimed twist rates. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Application/Control Number: 10/648,275

Art Unit: 2874

to set the rate of twist for the middle portion is set in the range of 1°/mm to 1000°/mm or 5°/mm to 100°/mm, and set the rate of twist at the end portion is in the range of 1°/mm to 1000°/mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. The limitation of claim 14 appears to directed to limiting the twist rate. It is inherent that if the twist rate were kept low, the elongated lengths of the cores due to twisting do not exceed four times the original lengths.

Referring to claim 9, the Graham et al. reference discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, except the Graham et al. reference does not appear to specifically disclose that the reinforcing pipe (17) overlaps the image fiber protective layer (16) by 1 to 10 mm. The applicant has not disclosed the criticality of these claimed overlap range. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the reinforcing pipe so that it overlaps the image fiber protective layer by 1 to 10 mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 10-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,275

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin S. Wood whose telephone number is (571) 272-2364. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin S. Wood